A New Season

The 4NCL returned to action, but will Guildford simply stroll to another title?

The 4NCL returned to Hinckley Island in mid-November for a new season, if one which hadn't started as smoothly as usual. Now comprising of 89 teams, strains on the national league's usual excellent level of organisation became apparent throughout October as captains waited in vain for the fixtures to be made and published. Perhaps this wasn't such a problem for that large majority of amateur sides, but for those like Guildford's Roger Emerson it posed a major dilemma: how strong to make one's first team with the opposition unknown?

To be fair to the 4NCL, with its generally excellent team of arbiters, proceedings went off without a glitch during the opening weekend of play, although just a couple of days prior to that, to the amazement of many, the belatedly-published fixtures had been amended. That resulted in Barbican I and II swapping pools, creating havoc for their own preparation, as well as for those teams expecting to face them.

It transpired that the arbiters had access to the correct fixtures, but that an incorrect version had somehow been published on the 4NCL's website. Quite why the incorrect but widely circulated version couldn't be stuck with was slightly baffling, not least on practical grounds. Moreover, Barbican II had even finished ahead of their first team last season, so were not without worthy claims to be the one seeded Barbican side. As chief arbiter David Welch had to admit, it was not the 4NCL's most glorious moment.

With the 4NCL rumoured to be back on the road next season, one wonders if the powers that be may even revert to 12-team all-play-alls, thereby negating the potentially sub-optimal seeding system currently in use to accommodate 16 teams in each of the top two flights. At any rate, one hopes that organisational levels will improve, which surely they will considering how well run the league has been for the last 15 years or so.

A Strong Start for the Champions

The removal of Barbican I from Pool B would appear to have left both Cheddleton and defending champions Guildford with just one tricky fixture, against each other. Unfortunately for the league, long-time leading club Wood Green have lost their sponsorship, which may turn the 2014/15 season into something of a Guildford procession. If that isn't to be,

Cheddleton will have to cause an upset, which seems unlikely, although they have at least added David Howell to their mainly-GM ranks.

Led by Matthew Sadler, Guildford deposed of both Hackney and e2e4.org 6½-1½. Sadler made 2/2, including a long grind against Stuart Conquest, as did Jean-Pierre le Roux and Dagne Ciuksyte, while Nick Pert showed that Avrukh's approach against the Slav continues to pack a certain punch.

N.Pert-T.Eden

Guildford I vs Hackney
Slav Defence

1 d4 d5 2 ፟②f3 ፟②f6 3 c4 c6 4 e3 ዿf5 5 ፟②c3 e6 6 ②h4 ዿg6 7 b3!?

Back in 2008 in *Grandmaster Repertoire* 1 - 1.d4 Volume One, Boris Avrukh actually preferred 7 2e2 5bd7 8 0-0, but matters may not be so clear after the aggressive 8... 6e4. In any case, an early b3 features in a lot of Avrukh's other anti-Slav lines and the resulting positions should be familiar to all disciples of his fine work.

7...⊮b6

The majority of strong players have preferred 7...豐c7 when the Catalanesque 8 公xg6 hxg6 9 g3 公bd7 10 总g2 is White's intention. Then, for instance, 10...总e7 11 0-0 0-0 12 cxd5 exd5 13 f3 b5 14

②e2 營b6 15 含h1 c5 16 營d1 當fe8 17 g4 was Nimzo or QGD-like and perhaps a touch better for White, who went on to win in Giri-Motyley, Biel 2014.

8 ∅xg6 hxg6 9 **≜d2 ∅bd7 10 ≜d3** ₩xb3?!

I'm rarely convinced by this exchange apart from when White has already traded off his c-pawn, meaning that the resulting doubled pawns are isolated. Many Slav players assume that the trade is good as White can no longer attack (c4-c5 followed by b4-b5 isn't an issue), but c4 is supported and the pawns control a number of useful squares.

Back in the day, 10.... 全7 11 h3 国C8 was preferred in Dreev-Gelfand, Groningen 1997, and even here one might make a good case for 10... 世 7!? 11 h3 全7, simply asking White what his plan is.

11 axb3 a6 12 h3



Pert cuts out any trouble on the kingside, having realised that his king may wish to reside there.

12...0-0-0

Another issue with exchanging queens is that it makes it hard to free Black's position with the standard breaks: for instance, 12...2d6 13 0-0 dxc4 14 bxc4 e5 15 Zefe1 undoubles the pawns and leaves White in control of the centre, while 12...c5 13 cxd5 exd5 14 2e2!? already eyes quick pressure against the newly created weakness on d5.

13 0-0!

Various engines I consulted here wanted to play something like 13 c5!? e5 14 b4 \$\displays 8 15 f3. This isn't as ridiculous as it looks; at some point \$\delta xa6\$ may become an issue and White



All smiles from Nick Pert as he started off his 4NCL campaign with a victory.

can hope to gradually inch forward on the kingside. However, with a 350-point rating advantage, why close the position? Pert indeed prefers to manoeuvre and does so deftly.

13...ዿd6 14 罩fd1 ዿc7

This may feel slow, but in the event of 14...g5 15 f3 $\triangle h5$ White can up the ante with 16 cxd5! exd5 17 e4.

15 \(\extrm{\pm}e1! \)

White hasn't 'castled into it' as f2-f3 will always hold up any ...g5-g4 intention. Tied in with that the bishop will cover the g3-square.

15...g5 16 f3 🖺 h5 17 🖺 e2

Fine prophylaxis. White will now look to the e3-e4 break and the tricky issue for Eden is how to obtain counterplay without opening lines for the white bishops.

17...g6

Black waits. A sensible policy. Some would have rushed headfirst with 17...f5?, but after 18 cxd5 there's only one good way to recapture and 18...cxd5 19 b4 would see White attacking on the queenside after all.



18 e4!?

The grandmaster wants to create some direct problems, but this was certainly ambitious. White might instead have continued to manoeuvre with, say, 18 全f2 when 18...f5 19 g4!? 创hf6 20 曾g2 would be a radical but possibly decent way to hold the kingside.

18...dxe4 19 fxe4

Pert must have looked long and hard at 19 £xe4 f5 20 £c2 e5 and concluded, correctly it seems, that Black is obtaining enough counterplay: for example, 21 £f2 exd4 22 £xd4 (or 22 £xd4 £)f4 when the white king suddenly isn't looking so happy) 22... £he8 23 £d2 £e5, forcing a positionally desirable trade of bishops.

19...c5

Far from bad, but 19...g4!? would have been consistent and another stiff test of White's play. After 20 hxg4? After 20 hzg4? After 20 b4! After 20 hzg4 the king's rook will spring to h5, so I suspect that 20 b4! was Pert's intention. Anything might have happened after 20...gxh3 21 gxh3 g5 22 b5 and even if detailed analysis revealed White to be slightly for choice, there's no doubt he has lost the control which Pert had initially strived so hard for.

20 dxc5!?

Not the most obvious move perhaps, but White needs to open lines for his bishops, not create squares for Black with 20 d5 \(\)e5.

20...**②**xc5 21 **≜**c2

You may be wondering about the open

lines, but the bishop is quite safe here and b3-b4 will give it some oxygen.

21...e5

Eden hopes to sink his knight into d4, which makes a lot of sense. After the slightly grovelling 21... 基本d1 22 基本d1 基d8 23 基xd8+ 含xd8 24 含f2 Black might have held, or White might gradually have put his bishops to good use. The best move, though, was 21... 公f6! and if 22 公c3 (22 全c3 e5 is now well timed) 22...g4, resuming the attack.

22 b4 🖄 e6



23 b5?!

Pert plays for the attack. It was also tempting to go forwards with 23 c5 244 24 2xd4 exd4 25 2b3, but this isn't such a stable edge in view of 25...2f4, teeing up ...g4 ideas once again. With Black's bishop currently a little out of play, a case might also be made for 23 2c3!?, and if 23...g4 24 hxg4 2f6 25 c5 2xg4 26 2b3, which is messy, but should favour White if he can retain control. In any event, the bold text might well have backfired.

23...\$b6+ 24 \$f2 \$xf2+ 25 \$xf2 axb5 26 cxb5

Both sides could have played fractionally stronger moves at various stages, but the game has been well fought and could still go either way. Black would love to remove all the rooks and a pair of knights, leaving White with a bad bishop, but before the endgame the Gods have placed the middlegame. It's impossible to believe that Black can be worse here, but his king is more exposed than it seems Eden realised.

26...**∲c**7

Played to avoid any back-rank issues after b5-b6; ... ∅hf4 can wait a move.

27 🙎 b3 🖄 hf4 28 🖄 c3



Naturally White has no desire to swap knights and prefers to eye the hole on d5.

28...f6?

You only need to give one chance to a GM and they invariably pounce. Eden has played well up to here, but this unnecessary defensive move changes everything. Black should have preferred 28... Ed4 when 29 ②d5+ ③d6 is nothing to worry about, whereas ... ②d3+ may well cause trouble. Likewise, I would slightly prefer to take Black after 28... ②d4, since 29 ③xf7? Edf8 30 ②c4 ②fe2+ 31 ③e1 ②xc3 32 bxc3 ②c2+ is not what White wants to be doing.

29 <u>\$</u>xe6!

Not so much exchanging off a potentially bad piece as prepared to bring White's own knight to a monster outpost.

29...@xe6?

The natural move, but if Black had sensed things had already gone wrong, he might have paused and preferred 29... And 1 30 And 1 20 xe6. Then 31 20 45+ 40 b8 leaves White pressing after, say, 32 20-7, but is also far preferable to the course of the game for the defender.

30 ∅d5+ **ġ**b8

30...曾d7!? was a radical try, but with 31 富a7!? 它c5 32 曾e3 White would have threatened 33 b4, with a rather nasty initiative.

81 h6



Pert begins to nail down the coffin around the black king.

31...**≣c**8

I'd be amazed if Black could survive this in a practical setting, but perhaps 31...互d6!? 32 互a5 (and not 32 互a7? 互xb6) 32...互c8 was worth a try, running away in the event of 33 互da1 互c2+ 34 含q1 含c8.

32 \$\dig q1 \boxede hf8 33 \boxede f1

After such a complex fight, both players may well have been living only on the 30-second increment by this point. There was nothing wrong with the immediate 33 \(\mathbb{Z}\)a7, but Pert prefers to target f6.

33...**ົ**∆f4 34 **≣**a5

Black would have had some chances to mount a long defence after 34 心c7 區xc7 35 bxc7+ \$xc7 36 區fd1 心e2+ 37 \$f2 心d4, but 34 心e7! would have been strong: for example, 34...區c8 (or 34...區c2 35 區f3 區xg2+ 36 \$h1 區xb2 37 區fa3 區xb6 38 區a8+ \$c7 39 區xf8, bagging a whole rook) 35 區a7 區xe7 36 區fa1 \$c8 37 區a8+ \$d7 38 區xf8 \$e6 39 區d1 and White's rooks should prove too active.

34...**ℤcd8**?

Remarkable as it may seem, Black could still have put up a lot of resistance after 34...公xd5 35 exd5 基cd8 36 基a7 f5!, and if 37 革fa1 全c8 38 基c1+ 全b8 39 基c7 基xd5 40 基cxb7+ 全c8 41 基g7 全b8. The text simply loses.

35 ②e7! 1-0

Removing the c8-square from the black king. There's nothing good to be done about 36 罩fa1 followed by mate.

Oxford have long been known as giant killers and went close yet again on their return to Division One, only going down $4\frac{1}{2}-3\frac{1}{2}$ to Cheddleton. David Howell, Jonathan Hawkins and Keith Arkell scored smooth victories on the top three boards for the pro-team, while Jovica Radovanovic chipped in with a win lower down. Oxford replied through Tom Eckersley-Waites, who outplayed David Eggleston, Marcus Harvey, the recipient of an overly optimistic Simon Williams piece sacrifice, and Aidan Rawlinson who attacked with some verve.

Unfortunately for the Dark Blues, new Cheddleton captain Fiona Steil-Antoni was able to force a draw after the time control on bottom board and so secure victory. Whereas Guildford I averaged 2544 across their 8 boards, Cheddleton's average rating was 2383. No mean effort, but they will have to strengthen somewhat to even remotely trouble the champions. Indeed, Cheddleton only won on the Sunday against Grantham Sharks again by the narrowest of margins, partly due to Peter Batchelor claiming the scalp of Keith Arkell.

Cambridge began the season without their invariable top boards, Karl Mah and Eddie Dearing, but have made a couple of canny signings, bringing in John-Paul Wallace from Hackney and the mercurial Jack Rudd from Bristol. The Light Blues began in the best possible fashion, edging out e2e4.org 4½-3½ thanks to wins on the lower boards from Carl Spencer and Sabrina Chevannes. Even more importantly for their quest to land up in the championship pool after 7 rounds, they then dispatched newly-promoted Hackney 5½-2½.

Win, lose or draw, Dan Bisby's Dutch and King's Indian games are always worth a look. I hesitate to show another loss for Tomer Eden, who played many, many better moves than your scribe during the weekend, but the following is rather instructive as well as entertaining.

Download all the chess from this issue in a single PGN database - completely free of charge!

Simply visit www.chess.co.uk/magazine

You can even sign-up to have the database emailed to you automatically

T.Eden-D.Bisby Hackney vs Cambridge



After spending the whole game trying to land a blow, Black has finally just broken through on the kingside with 43... 基xf2. Eden's knight retreat, while understandable, wasn't the best response and now 44... 全xd4! 45 公xf2 基xf2 46 公e3 響f8 would have left everything to play for. Black is the exchange and a pawn down, but may even be for choice on account of the large threat of 46... 基b2. 44... 警c2 was also reasonable, but instead Bisby elected to remove a defender.

I dare say that at this point Black also looked at 45...心d5!?, but feared he'd come up short if White just took everything with 46 exd5 兔xd5+ 47 曾g1 兔xd4+ 48 ②e3 罩f3 49 ②xe5. Still that or the simple 45...兔xd4 should really have been preferred.



After the text Black threatens 46...ッf3+47。47。444+. How would you defend?

The knight returns to its former duty, blocking the key dark-square diagonal, but Eden has missed a vicious shot. Correct was the calm 46 公xe5 when it's hard to even suggest a move for Black. Everything is covered. Calmly removing the threat with 46 公d2 would also have done the trick, and if 46... ②xd4 47 營xe7 查f7 48 營b4 ③xa1 49 公f2.

46...@d5!!

A bolt from the blue. Black introduces another piece into the attack and suddenly finds himself with a decisive initiative.

It's also rather hard to see how White would have survived after 47 exd5 營f3+ 48 含g1 (or 48 含h3 ②xd5 49 ②xe5 營g2+ 50 含h4 ②d8+) 48...②xd5 49 ②e4 ②xd4+ 50 營xd4 exd4.

47...**∮**f4+ 48 **∲**f2

Otherwise it could easily have been mate: 48 \$\delta\$h1 \$\delta\$f3+ 49 \$\delta\$g1 \$\overline{\Delta}\$h3+ 49 \$\delta\$g2 \$\delta\$xc5 50 dxc5 \$\delta\$f3+!.

48...**②**e2+ 0−1

49 曾g2 營f3+ spells the end. A horrible turnaround for Eden, who had defended well for a long time, but also a reminder of the practical sting ever present in such direct kingside attacks.

In contrast to Cambridge, Barbican II began in the worst possible fashion as the effects of pairing mistreatment were all too apparent. The side who enjoyed an excellent 2013/14 season went down 5-3 to the Sharks, despite Graham Morrison deftly punishing an early pawn grab, and then lost a close encounter by the same margin to Oxford. Short of time Neil Berry miscalculated in a winning pawn ending, which let the ever tricky Eckersley-Waites off the hook, while Ingrid Lauterbach couldn't convert an extra pawn against Francesca Matta on bottom board. That said, Oxford deserved the two points, fighting to the maximum on almost every board, and being rewarded with wins for Justin Tan, David Martins and Jonathan Manley.

H.Grund-G.Morrison

Grantham Sharks vs Barbican II

Sicilian Defence

1 e4 c5 2 4 f3 e6 3 c3 d5 4 e5

White declines the exchange on d5 and a standard c3 Sicilian, hoping to reach an Advance French after 4... (2) c6 5 d4. Morrison, however, has other ideas.



Graham Morrison - always a tough opponent and very well prepared.

4...d4!

A bold gain of space and the critical test of White's set-up.

5 cxd4

5...cxd4 6 &b5+ &d7

Much better to sacrifice a pawn than suffer positionally after 6... ②c6?! 7 ②xc6+bxc6 8 營a4 營d5 9 0-0.

7 ②xd4?! ≜xb5 8 ②xb5 ②c6 9 0-0 a6 10 ②5c3



White would be fine if Black now had nothing better than regaining his pawn on e5, but Morrison has no intention of allowing a freeing d2-d4. Black may be a pawn down, but he already enjoys a pleasant small advantage in my view, thanks to the holes down the d-file, White's congested queenside and the weakness on e5.

10...**∮**]ge7 11 **≦**e1

Neither was 11 2a3 2f5 12 2c2 2c5 13 2e4?! 2d4 14 2d6+ 2xd6 15 2xd4 2xd4 16 exd6 wxd6 a success story for White in Torre-Hubner, Novi Sad Olympiad 1990.

11...②g6 12 ②a3!?

Exchanging further structural unpleasantness for some play on the b-file. Instead, 12 b3 \$\&\text{e} = 7 \ 13 \$\&\text{Q} \aa 3 \ 0-0 \text{ was all too easy for Black in Kharlov-Gheorghiu, Bern 1992. Note the date of these game references. If you like 3 c3 and also the Advance French for White, the modern 5 \$\&\text{d} = 3 \text{ really is the move.}

This doesn't lead anywhere, but it's not so easy to suggest a good plan. Grund must have been dismayed with how much play and control Black had obtained with his early sacrifice. Another idea was 15 a4, but 15...b4 16 2e2 2gxe5 17 d4 2c4 just looks like a pretty grim IQP position for White.

15...⊮d3

Taking advantage of the hole, but even stronger would have been 15...②f4! 16 營g4 ②d3, and if 17 罩e3 ②cxe5 18 營g3 營d4 19 ②e2 營c5.

16 🖺 b3 🖄 d4 17 🖺 b2

White's shimmy with his rook has saved the e5-pawn, but Black is after much more than just that pawn. He wants to retain full

control before increasing the pressure and emphasising just how awful White's dormant bishop is.

17... Zac8 18 h4?!

Semi-desperation and perhaps 18 罩e3 豐c4 19 罩b1 to at least get in 身b2 was a better try, if still extremely grim for White.

18...公c2 19 罩f1 罩c4



All that h4 has done is create another target for Black. Morrison is quick to take advantage.

20 g3 44!

Its disruptive job done on c2, the knight heads to join in what will be a decisive attack. 21 會q2 分f5 22 營e2?

The queen isn't safe here either, but likewise, 22 罩h1 ②fxh4+! 23 含g1 ②xe5 would have been total annihilation.

22...②fxh4+! 23 \$\diphh1 \$\diphf5 24 \$\diphh2\$ ②f3+ 25 \$\dipg2 ②gh4+!

Finishing crisply.

26 \$h1 \$\dispharphi\$h3# 0-1

J.Manley-T.Chapman

Oxford vs Barbican II

Philidor Defence

1 e4 d6 2 d4 🖄 f6 3 🖄 c3 e5 4 🖄 f3 🖄 bd7 5 🗘 c4 🗘 e7 6 0-0

This allows a "trendy new line", as pointed out by Parimarjan Negi. As such, 6 a4!? is his recommendation in *Grandmaster Repertoire: 1.e4 vs The French, Caro-Kann and Philidor.* This was actually seen in another game played on the same day at Hinckley Island and after 6...0-0 7 0-0 a6 8 a5 h6 9 h3 exd4 10 公xd4 ②e5 11 ②a2 c5 12 ②de2 ②c6 13 ②f4 ②xa5 14 ②g6 董e8 15 ②f4 (all as given by Negi) 15...②c6 16 ②xe7+ 董xe7 17 營xd6 White had regained his pawn with a modest but pleasant edge, and went on to grind out the win in Adair-Milliet, 4NCL 2014.

6...@b6!?

The aforementioned trendy line. Chapman eschews the long manoeuvring game which the traditional 6...c6 7 a4 0-0 tends to lead to. 7 2e2

Invariably played. 7 **2**b3 is actually not so ridiculous though, so long as White meets 7...exd4 with 8 ****** 数44.

7...exd4 8 2xd4 0-0 9 a4 a5 10 f4



Aggressive, tempting and a critical test of Black's set-up.

10...d5?!

A natural counterstrike and a move which makes full use of the knight being on b6, but unfortunately it also appears to ask too much of Black's set-up. Instead, he should tidy his position with 10... 基e8 11 全f3 全f8, or go 10...c6 11 全f3 革e8, which also seems reasonable enough for the second player, if still a touch better for White after 12 b3.

11 e5 2e4 12 f5!

The Editor of *Kingpin* never needs a second invitation to attack as it becomes apparent that f5-f6 is going to wreak havoc.

12...@xc3?

White's central set-up is secure after this, so Black really had to prefer 12...25 13 f6 g6, no matter how risky and ugly it looked. There is a big hole on g7, but ...28 and ...2f8 will ensure that a mating attack won't be a formality for White.

13 bxc3 c5?!

Chapman will play many better games. Matters were already bad, but now Manley can strike.

14 f6! gxf6

This leads to a rout, but 14...cxd4 15 fxe7 **exe7 16 \(\hat{\hat{a}} \) a3 picks up the exchange.

15 <u>≜</u>d3!



We could almost be back in the nineteenth century. As White has realised, there's nothing Black can do about h7.

15...fxe5

15...cxd4 16 豐h5 would have been an immediate kill, but the text runs into a deadly Greek Gift.



Editor of satirical chess magazine Kingpin, Jon Manley, brought the fight to his higher-rated opponent and won a beautiful miniature.

The only defence, but White had no doubt seen his next some way in advance.

19 🖒 f5 ዿxf5 20 ፪xf5 1-0

Resignation was not a moment too early, since 21 營g4+ is threatened and 20...營d6 would hang the bishop.

Farewell Wood Green?

London's strongest club have lost not just stars like David Howell, but also stalwarts in the shape of John Emms and Chris Ward. Admittedly they have managed to retain Jon Speelman and Jovanka Houska, but fielded three players rated below 2150 in the opening weekend. As a former regular of their side in the early 1980s commented, it was the first time in 30 years that he could have got in their team.

Last season's runners-up lost the bottom three boards against Warwickshire Select, but prevailed 4½-3½, with Speelman and our Executive Editor winning smoothly as White, and the Quality Chess connection of Andrew Greet and John Shaw also contributing full points. Wood Green then showed plenty of fight on the Sunday and suggested that they may yet avoid the relegation pool. Outrated by almost 100 points a board on average, they fought tooth and nail in a long, very close match with Barbican I.

Husband and wife team Arne Hagesaether and Jovanka Houska did especially well, the former holding a rather grim position against Simon Knott and the latter smoothly outplaying rising star Isaac Sanders. Barbican scored crushing wins, though, thanks to Lorin D'Costa and Kanwal Bhatia, and came home $4\frac{1}{2}$ - $3\frac{1}{2}$ after John Cox just about managed not to overpress in an endgame against Sarah Hegarty.

J.Pein-K.BhatiaWood Green vs Barbican I



Black had been suffering from cramp in an Exchange Lopez, but now spotted a way to break out.

20...≜xf3

Forcing the pace, although it was also possible to reach the game position via 20...2xf4!? 21 gxf4 2xf3 22 xf3 yg4+ 23 xf2.

21 ∅xf3 ∰g4 22 �f2

22 e5 would have kept White's king safe, but also cost a clear pawn after 22...fxe5 23 fxe5 &xe5.

22... 2xf4!

The star follow-up to Black's play.

23 gxf4 🖄 xf4



24 <u>\$</u>c3??

A major blunder. So would have been 24 翼g1 ②h3+ 25 含e2 罩xe4+ 26 含d2 ②xg1 27 罩xq1 營xf3, but when embarking on her sacrifice Bhatia had to make sure that she had enough for the piece after 24 罩e3. It seems that Black does with the calm 24... 罩e6, emphasising the point that White is extremely tied down. The rooks and knight lack good squares and the bishop isn't up to much. That leaves gueen moves and after 25 響f1 (or 25 營c5 公h3+ 26 含f1 罩xe4 27 Idd3 If4 28 We7 Ig8 with three pawns and an ongoing initiative for the piece) 25... ae8 26 公d2 c5 27 &c3 b5 28 a3 罩d6 Black's pressure would have continued to compensate for the piece, albeit with everything still to play for.

24...②h3+ 25 �e3 ∰f4+

Maybe this in-between move had escaped the young Pein's attention.

26 曾d3 營xf3+ 27 曾d2 營f4+ 0-1

With Wood Green not really justifying their position as top seeds, perhaps that final addition to the Pool A, Barbican I, will prove the strongest team. Last year Barbican had one of their worst ever seasons, but this time around it's hard not to see them at least making the championship pool. They opened their account with a $5\frac{1}{2}-2\frac{1}{2}$ victory over the AD's, with Sam Collins making a very welcome return to their ranks.

S.Collins-A.Merry Barbican I vs the AD's

Barbican I vs the AD's Sicilian Taimanov

1 e4 c5 2 🖄 f3 🖄 c6 3 d4 cxd4 4 🖄 xd4 🖄 f6 5 🖄 c3 e6 6 🚨 e2 🖺 b4

Taking up the challenge, but one wonders if the Suffolk star later regretted not preferring a transposition to the Scheveningen with 6...d6.

7 0-0!

This pawn sacrifice was recommended by John Emms back in 2006 in a certain *Dangerous Weapons: The Sicilian*, and continues to pack a certain punch.

7...≜xc3 8 bxc3 ②xe4 9 ≜d3 ②f6

Black's main defences are 9...♠xc3 10 ₩g4 ₩f6 and 9...d5. The text feels a little too submissive.

10 🌲 g5

Pinning the knight can't be bad, but I can't explain why Collins rejected the Emms-approved 10 ②b5!: for example, 10...0-0 (10...d5 11 皇a3 ②e7 12 ②d6+ 曾移 13 c4 looks rather grim for Black) 11 皇a3 ②e7 12 ②c7! 豐xc7 13 ②xe7 when Black should probably ditch the exchange for not quite enough rather than allow 13...這e8 14 ②xf6 qxf6 15 豐h5.

10...≝a5



11 f4?!

Aggressive, but this may ask too much of White's position. One can't blame Merry for breaking the pin and not fearing 11 &xf6 gxf6. After 12 Ze1 White does, however, retain a degree of annoying compensation, as shown by 12...h5 13 4b5 a6 14 4d6+ \$e7

15 **②**e4. Once again one also wonders about 11 **②**b5, but Collins was likely put off by 11...**②**e4!, and if 12 **②**f4 **②**xc3 13 **②**d6+ **⑤**f8 14 **屬**d2 **②**d5.

11... **營xc3**

Merry decides he might as well have a second pawn to compensate for his lack of dark-square control. Likewise, after 11...豐c5 12 會h1 ②xd4 13 cxd4 豐xd4 14 罩e1 會f8 15 豐f3 White would have had some enduring compensation, but surely not quite enough for two pawns.

12 🖺 b5 豐c5+ 13 會h1 🖺 d4

Covering c7 and trying to free his position with an exchange. 13... 2d5 was another try, but after 14 总h4! 公cb4 15 总f2 曾c6 16 c4 ②xd3 17 曾xd3 White's initiative would have begun to take on alarming proportions. Perhaps the calm 13... 2b8!? was best. After 14 公c7+ 含f8 Black threatens to corral the knight and it's not obvious how White continues.

14 a4!

Stronger than exchanging on d4. Merry is given more to think about and now in the event of 14...a6 15 ②xd4 ¥xd4 White will always have a timely a4-a5 to clamp the queenside.

14... 夕xb5 15 axb5 d6 16 豐f3



Merry's last few moves appear very natural and can hardly be terrible, but now White is well coordinated and has potential pressure right across the board.

16...∮∆d7

Essential preparation for castling (16...0-0? 17 \triangle xf6 gxf6 18 $\mbox{$overline}$ g3+ $\mbox{$\dot{e}$}$ h8 19 $\mbox{$overline}$ h4 would not have been the way to go), but one wonders if a product of the Soviet school would have returned the extra material to simplify with 16...d5!? 17 f5 $\mbox{$overline}$ e4 18 $\mbox{$\triangle$}$ xe4 dxe4 19 $\mbox{$overline}$ xe4 0-0.

17 \(\bar{2}\) ae1 0-0

In view of what follows, 17...h6!? 18 总h4 0-0 came into consideration, but there's sometimes a good reason why pawns in front of the king should not be pushed and after 19 營e4 g6 20 总f2 營c7 White can even blast ahead with 21 f5!?.

18 **₩g**3

Black has got a pawn to d6, but remains somewhat hamstrung by his lack of a dark-squared bishop.

18...≝c7?

18...會h8? 19 營h4 was no help, of course, but neither will Merry's offer of the exchange save him. Radical measures were called for in the shape of 18...f5!, and if 19 罩xe6 (19 食h6 罩f7 20 罩xe6 公f8 21 罩e8 b6 is also solid enough) 19...公e5 20 罩e7 公g6, plugging some key lines.

19 &h6 g6 20 f5!



This is the problem. White can spurn the exchange as his attack becomes near decisive. **20...exf5**

An only move. It would have been an immediate knock-out in the event of 20... ②e5? 21 置xe5! dxe5 22 fxq6 hxq6 23 单xq6!.

21 **ℤe7**

Breaking through to the cherished seventh rank and threatening to take on f5 and then f8. Black is simply doomed from what I can see.

21...⊮c5

21...豐c3!? was worth a go, hoping for 22 Ixf5? 白e5, but 22 豐xd6 豐f6 23 Ixd7 豐xd6 24 Ixd6 would have bagged a piece and the game.

22 \(\bar{2} \) \(\bar{3} \) \(\bar{4} \) \(\alpha \)

Two rooks on the seventh is more than the potential future GM can cope with.

. 24...≜e6

Shedding a piece, but there was nothing better.

25 **Exf8** + **Exf8** 26 **Exe6** a5

The a-pawn is Black's last hope, but Collins has everything under control.

All of Guildford II, last year's third-placed team, White Rose, and perennial underachievers Blackthorne Russia could also claim to be the strongest side in what should be a very competitive pool. One year Blackthorne will make full use of what is on paper a pretty strong squad, headed up by Danny Gormally and Adam Hunt.

Blackthorne began with what appeared a 50–50 match against Guildford II, but were always up against it after Gormally was caught out by a vicious piece of opening preparation from c3 Sicilian expert Dave Smerdon. Guildford ran out winners 5–3, which in recent seasons would have heralded a second defeat for Blackthorne, but perhaps they have injected some steel over the summer as this time they not only rallied, but annihilated South Wales Dragons 6½–1½.



IM Sam Collins' Barbican team got off to a fine start, winning both their matches in the opening weekend, including a narrow 4½-3½ victory over former favourites Wood Green.

The Dragons had already suffered on their return to the top flight, going down 6-2 on the opening day to White Rose, despite James Cobb finding some impressive tactics to salvage a draw against Peter Wells in a gripping time scramble. The Yorkshire regular qualifiers for the European Club were victorious the next day too, wins for James Adair and Iain Gourlay cancelling out former Guildford supremo Nigel Povah's win on bottom board. The match was very close for a long time, but Guildford II eventually went down 41/2-31/2 after an under pressure Sophie Milliet overstepped the time limit on move 40 and Yang-Fan Zhou couldn't gain more than a draw from a crazy encounter.

Y.F.Zhou-C.McNab Guildford II vs White Rose



The Scottish Grandmaster had missed a powerful shot in the time scramble (see this month's *Find the Winning Moves*), and at move 40 a rather murky position is apparent. White's pawns do appear the more dangerous though.

41 a6

This feels a little unnatural, allowing Black's queen to blockade, but it is only White's next which we should condemn. The alternative was 41 b6 and after 41...h3 42 b7 ②g6 43 a6 ②e5 44 黨a2 the storm clouds appear to be gathering. However, after 44...豐b6+ 45 全c3 ②xf3 46 黨d1 h2 47 a7 Black can either check on e3 or go in for the cheeky 47...豐xb7!? 48 a8豐 豐xa8 49 黨xa8 ②g1, and in both cases the game very much carries on.

41...豐c5 42 罩e4?

Fed up with having his rooks rather tied down, Zhou attempts to free them, but he should have done so with 42 d6 exd6 43 \(\) 43 \(\) 43 \(\) 45 \(\) 47 \(\) 48 \(\) 48 \(\) 48 \(\) 48 \(\) 49 \(\) 48 \(\) 48 \(\) 49 \(\) 49 \(\) 40 \(\) 4

42...h3 43 \(\bar{2} e5

43 罩a2? might appear tempting, but after 43... 公xd5 44 a7 豐b4+ 45 含c2 豐c3+ 46 含b1 公b6 it's Black who should win.

43...\$f6 44 \(\begin{align*} \text{24} \\ \text{24} \end{align*}

Perhaps White should have preferred 44 罩e4!? when 44...②g2 45 d6 exd6 46 罩d5 would have introduced a whole new level of complexity, as after the text Black might even have played on with 44...②g2!.



In the endgame arising after 45 罩c1 (45 罩a1? ②e3 46 鱼e2 is what White would like to do, but he can't cover everything against the formidable queen and knight combo after 46...②xd5) 45...②e3 46 罩h2 ②xc4 47 罩xc4 豐xb5+48 盒c3 only Black would have enjoyed winning chances with such an active queen. Indeed, in practice Zhou might have done well to hold this with such a potentially loose rook on the h-file. McNab though correctly accepted the draw and so quaranteed victory for his side.

Antiquarian Chess Literature

Internet book auctions held 3-4 times a year. Next auction: 1-10 February 2015. Proceeds go to Lund Chess Academy, which supports young chess talent.

Throughout the year there are also many books offered at fixed prices.

www.chesslund.com

Division 1, Pool A		Р	w	D	L	Pts	GP
1	White Rose	2	2	0	0	4	10½
2	Barbican 4NCL I	2	2	0	0	4	10
3	Blackthorne Russia	2	1	0	1	2	9½
4	Guildford II	2	1	0	1	2	8½
5	Wood Green HK	2	1	0	1	2	8
6	The AD's	2	1	0	1	2	7½
7	Warwickshire Select	2	0	0	2	0	6½
8	South Wales Dragons	2	0	0	2	0	3½

Division 1, Pool B		Р	w	D	L	Pts	GP
1	Guildford I	2	2	0	0	4	13
2	Cambridge University	2	2	0	0	4	10
3	Cheddleton	2	2	0	0	4	9
4	Grantham Sharks	2	1	0	1	2	8½
5	Oxford	2	1	0	1	2	8½
6	Barbican 4NCL II	2	0	0	2	0	6
7	e2e4.org.uk	2	0	0	2	0	5
8	Hackney	2	0	0	2	0	4

Chess with Monsieur Joffroy

by Duncan Gillies MacLaurin

In memory of Frédérique Joffroy (1962-1980)

Losing to me wasn't the badge of shame your father thought it was. He couldn't stop the stronger player coming out on top.
It came as quite a shock to hear him claim my proletarian tactics were to blame.
It's standard stuff to snatch a pawn, then swap off all the pieces; suicide to drop the basic principle behind the game.
To think that he was meant to be the host!
We were thirteen, your father forty-four.
Five years later I was told, by post, that you, my friend, had hanged yourself. Your ghost jolted my memory. Outplayed once more, your father kicked the table to the floor.

Duncan Gillies MacLaurin was born in Glasgow in 1962, sent to boarding school in Perthshire, and awarded an exhibition in Classics to Oxford. He left without his degree, and after a short spell at London University, he spent two years busking in the streets of Europe. In 1986 he met his future wife, Danish journalist and writer, Ann Bilde, in Italy and went to live in Denmark. He took degrees in English and Latin at Aarhus University and since 1995 has taught in gymnasiet (sixth-form colleges).

He is both a poet and a singer/songwriter. Three collections of his poetry have been published, and in 2012 he gave seven concerts at one of the Edinburgh Festivals. He was a keen chess player in his youth, and writing this sonnet in 2013 prompted him to take up playing again, both online and over the board.